Prof. Dr. Markus Beckmann

SS23 • Business Ethics and Corporate Social Responsility
Rückläufer = 33 • Formular w_SS23_MA_EN10 • Umfrage-Typ "Allgemein"

Legende

Fragetext



1. Support and Lecture Concept

1.1) The students are supported well within the course



1.2) Good/bad support (see question 1.1), because...

- answering questions, Perspectives App with additional explanations, Studon tests
- Because all the material they provides us
- Good support: The professor is kind and allows us to ask our own questions
- Great support during class and after as well.
- Open questions, discussions and menti
- Related contents and readings in advance. Supplemented by menti and perspective apps
- The additional materials that the professor provides (Perspectives, additional videos, podcasts, etc.) are very useful in helping the students understand the core materials needed for the course.
- the available course on studon is really helpfull to be concentrate on the course and what the professor is exlpaining.
- Yue was a big help regarding material and questions

1.3) The lecturer is able to transfer the knowledge very well



1.4) The teaching materials used during the lecture (e. g. slides, literature, StudOn) help to understand the content better



1.5) The lecturer presents the material in an understandable way



1.6) The lecturer clarifies the relevance of the material covered



2. Interactivity and Study Progress Self-Review

2.1) The level of interactivity is appropriate for the concept of the course



n=32
mw=3.72
s=0.58

2.2) I have the opportunity to ask arising questions in this course



n=31
mw=3.81
s=0.48
E.=1

2.3) The lecturer motivates to ask questions and goes into detail about them



n=32
mw=3.69
s=0.64

2.4) In this course, I am enabled to review my learning progress and results on a regular basis



n=31
mw=3.77
s=0.43
E.=1

3. Research and Practice

3.1) The research context is considered (methods, developments, projects, results)



n=30
mw=3.53
s=0.63
E.=2

3.2) The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.)



n=32
mw=3.88
s=0.34

3.3) The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically



n=32
mw=3.78
s=0.55

4. Challenge and Scope

4.1) The degree of difficulty of the course is



n=32
mw=3.25
s=0.57

4.2) The scope of course contents is



n=32
mw=3.56
s=0.76

4.3) The course speed is



n=32
mw=3.34
s=0.55

4.4) The previous knowledge expected in the course is



n=32
mw=3.03
s=0.47

5. Overall Lecture Assessment

5.1) What grade do you assign the course in general?



n=32
mw=1.5
s=0.72

5.2) I learned a lot in the course



n=32
mw=3.66
s=0.48

5.3) The course is structured clearly



n=32
mw=3.63
s=0.61

5.4) The content is aligned with clearly defined learning objectives



n=32
mw=3.69
s=0.59

5.5) What do you particularly like about the course?

- I liked the outside activity of measuring our peer to peer discussion
- I liked the support through the online tool „perspectives“ because it helps to repeat what one learned in class
- I like the imbetted tutorials and work with the perspectives app; thank you so much for the support of the teacher and recording every session, it was a HUGE help
- I like the way the professor teaches. The lectures are very interactive and the professor understands how to get along with students. By incorporating fun elements in the lecture (such as pop culture references and videos), students are able to relate more with the subject and establish a deeper connection with the material.
- i really appreciate that Prof. Beckmann did the audio recording for each lecture. since i had an overlap with another lecture, this enabled me to still take Business Ethics
- Menti
- Menti, the lecturer
- Menti and perspective app
- The content is pretty interesting and I like the interactions with Menti etc. Furthermore, the professor is really good in explaining the content and arouse interest.
- The course contents as well as the lecturer's style and delivery
- the diversity of topics makes that it is really interesting and not repetitive. It piques my curiosity.
- The professor and the class atmosphere was perfect. Our ideas were important. And we learnt in practice.
- The proffesor. Genuine and objective, really dedicated at what he does and he keeps an open mind to all viewpoints.
- The teaching and the knowledge transfer was actually the best I experienced in my masters program. It was easy to follow the lectures :)
- very nice lecture, good examples, thanks for supporting Trichter <3 best prof ever

5.6) What are your suggestions for improvement? What could be implemented from other lectures?

- Everything seems perfect to me.
- Guest Lectures
- implement a mock exam
- I suggest that the course become more interactive, instead of having a monologue most of the time
- It is too work intensive compared to other courses. Maybe too much
- It would be nice if you include a trigger warning for sensitive topics especially suicide!
- Maybe speaking more slowly

- More debate
- More inter student discussions
- none
- Not much, this is the best course I've taken this semester. Keep up the good work!
- There is way too much content. Compared to other courses that also give 5 ects, the scope is many times higher. And the grading is unfortunately not so good. I understand that nothing is given for free, but unfortunately that means that only people who are completely enthusiastic about the subject area take the chair's courses. But shouldn't one sensitize and inspire the broad masses of students for sustainability?

5.7) What other content related or overall social issues should be considered in the lecture?

- More political issues related to economics
- The topic is very interesting -> nothing to be improved

6. Self-Study and Study Behavior

6.1) How much time did you spend for this course until now? Please specify in hours per week on average.

n=32



6.2) What learning style do you prefer?

n=31



7. Sociodemographic Data and Background Variables

7.1) Please state your study programme

n=32



7.2) For how many semesters (including the current) have you been participating in your study programme?

n=32



8. Optional Questions

8.1) The mandatory self-study material of the Perspectives App is useful for my personal life



n=29
mw=3.72
s=0.7
E.=2

8.2) The mandatory self-study material of the Perspectives App is useful for my (future) professional life



n=28
mw=3.46
s=0.79
E.=3

Profillinie

Teilbereich: Onlineevaluationen_FB_Wiwi
Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Markus Beckmann
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) Business Ethics and Corporate Social Responsility

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Support and Lecture Concept



1.1)	The students are supported well within the course	I disagree	I agree	n=31	mw=3.81
1.3)	The lecturer is able to transfer the knowledge very well	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.81
1.4)	The teaching materials used during the lecture (e.g. slides, literature, StudOn) help to understand the content better	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.75
1.5)	The lecturer presents the material in an understandable way	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.81
1.6)	The lecturer clarifies the relevance of the material covered	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.75

2. Interactivity and Study Progress Self-Review



2.1)	The level of interactivity is appropriate for the concept of the course	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.72
2.2)	I have the opportunity to ask arising questions in this course	I disagree	I agree	n=31	mw=3.81
2.3)	The lecturer motivates to ask questions and goes into detail about them	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.69
2.4)	In this course, I am enabled to review my learning progress and results on a regular basis	I disagree	I agree	n=31	mw=3.77

3. Research and Practice



3.1)	The research context is considered (methods, developments, projects, results)	I disagree	I agree	n=30	mw=3.53
3.2)	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.)	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.88
3.3)	The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.78

4. Challenge and Scope



4.1)	The degree of difficulty of the course is	much too low	much too high	n=32	mw=3.25
4.2)	The scope of course contents is	much too low	much too high	n=32	mw=3.56
4.3)	The course speed is	much too low	much too high	n=32	mw=3.34
4.4)	The previous knowledge expected in the course is	much too low	much too high	n=32	mw=3.03

5. Overall Lecture Assessment

5.1)	What grade do you assign the course in general?	very good (1)	insufficient (5)	n=32	mw=1.50
5.2)	I learned a lot in the course	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.66
5.3)	The course is structured clearly	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.63
5.4)	The content is aligned with clearly defined learning objectives	I disagree	I agree	n=32	mw=3.69



8. Optional Questions

8.1)	The mandatory self-study material of the Perspectives App is useful for my personal life	I disagree	I agree	n=29	mw=3.72
8.2)	The mandatory self-study material of the Perspectives App is useful for my (future) professional life	I disagree	I agree	n=28	mw=3.46